

February 20, 2019
5:30 pm
Municipal Operations Centre
408 James Street South, St. Marys

Pages

3

- 1. CALL TO ORDER
- 2. DECLARATION OF PECUNIARY INTEREST
- 3. AMENDMENTS AND APPROVAL OF AGENDA

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the February 20, 2019 regular Green Committee agenda be accepted as presented.

- 4. DELEGATIONS
- 5. ACCEPTANCE OF MINUTES

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the January 16, 2019 regular Green Committee minutes be approved and signed by the Chair and Committee Secretary.

- 6. REPORTS
 - 6.1 Town of St. Marys Forestry Program
 - J.Kelly to provide the Committee with information regarding forestry management operations within the Town of St. Marys
 - 6.2 PW 12-2019 Forestry Management Plan: Tree Species List

Generate discussion regarding the creation of a revised tree species list for public lands in the Town of St. Marys.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT PW 12-2019 Forestry Management Plan: Tree Species List be received; and

THAT Appendix F – Species List & Planting Conditions be retained for natural areas; and

THAT the proposed modified environment species list be considered; and

THAT Step 3 of creating a revised Tree Species List be initiated.

7. OTHER BUSINESS

8. UPCOMING MEETINGS

10

Review proposed 2019 meeting schedule.

9. ADJOURNMENT



FORMAL REPORT

To: Choose an item.

Prepared by: Morgan Dykstra, Public Works Coordinator

Date of Meeting: 20 February 2019

Subject: PW 12-2019 Forestry Management Plan: Tree Species List

PURPOSE

To generate a discussion regarding the creation of a revised tree species list for public lands in the Town of St. Marys. The species list will consider two types of tree streams (1) modified environments (i.e. boulevards, parks and hard surface environments), and (2) natural areas (i.e. woodlands, wetlands, along rivers, and other natural areas that have little to no man-made modifications).

RECOMMENDATION

THAT PW 12-2019 Forestry Management Plan: Tree Species List be received; and

THAT Appendix F - Species List & Planting Conditions be retained for natural areas; and

THAT the proposed modified environment species list be considered; and

THAT Step 3 of creating a revised Tree Species List be initiated.

BACKGROUND

The Modernizing Ontario's Municipal Legislation Act, 2017 (Bill 68) received Royal Assent on May 30, 2017. The Act requires municipalities to adopt a policy with respect to how the municipality will protect and enhance the tree canopy and natural vegetation in the municipality by March 2019. Additionally, the Town of St. Marys Strategic Plan defined implementing a forestry and tree management policy as a priority.

In July 2018, the Strategic Priorities Committee and staff discussed the goals, objectives, and outcomes of a forestry management plan for the Town of St. Marys. Ultimately, Council determined that a forestry management plan should only address public trees, not private trees.

Following the guidelines set out by SPC staff composed a draft forestry management plan, the draft addressed how to address trees in modified environments (maintenance practices, planting practices, and the removal of trees), some discussion of natural areas, invasive species, severe weather event policies, and community engagement. The draft policy was distributed to the public for comment from September 24 to October 5, 2018. The draft policy received 14 comments from the public; the comments were taken into consideration when revising the draft forestry management plan.

In October 2018, staff presented to Council a forestry management plan following SPC's guidelines and comments made by the public. The report to Council included a section outlining the key themes of the comments received, staff, applied a combination of "Accepted," "Recommend to be Rejected," and "Next Steps" actions to the comments justification for those actions. Many of the rejected comments refer to items that are not within the scope of a forestry management plan or better related to other Town functions. During the approval process, staff recommended to Council that the Appendix F-Species List & Planting Conditions of the proposed forestry management plan be an interim species list.

The Upper Thames River Conservation Authority recommends the species included in Appendix F for the Upper Thames watershed. The species are adapted to the local climate and are more resilient than non-native species. The original species list is primarily trees that are more suitable for naturalized areas, and are not ideal for modified environments where salt spray is likely. Therefore, developing a species list for park settings and boulevard trees is necessary.

Ultimately, Council passed Resolution 2018-10-30-17:

THAT PW 61-2018 Forestry Management Plan be received; and

THAT Council approve By-Law 85-2018 to adopt a Forestry Management Plan; and

THAT staff be directed to revise the species list to better determine tree suitability and placement; and

THAT the proposed Green Committee pursue community engagement and education opportunities regarding the urban forest.

The next step is to develop a revised species list.

REPORT

As per the direction of Council, staff have initiated Step 1 of developing a revised species list to address modified and environments and natural areas. Staff have prepared a draft species list for the Green Committee's consideration.

1. Natural Areas

Staff recommends that Appendix F - Species List & Planting Conditions of By-law 85-2018 be retained as the species list for natural areas in the Town of St. Marys. As the trees and vegetation outlined in the interim list are specifically for the Upper Thames watershed and therefore, suitable for the area. Since 2016, the Town is actively rehabilitating Sparling Bush, a part of the rehabilitation plan is to expand the bush. During the expansion process, trees that are listed in Appendix F have been planted at the bush perimeter, including but not limited to Kentucky Coffee-trees (Gymnocladus dioicus) and Tulip trees (Liriodenendron tulipfera), both trees have thrived.

2. Modified Environments

Staff have drafted a tree species list for the Committee's consideration.

Step 1: Creating a Base List

The first step in the process is creating a base list of trees that are commonly accepted as native to the area and suitable for urban/modified environments. Staff utilized several resources to build the base species list, they are as follows:

- City of Stratford's Proposed Species List
- City of London's <u>Tree Planting and Protection Guidelines Appendix 5</u>
- The City of Guelph's tree lists for <u>"Urban-tolerant Trees for Small to Mid-sized Plots"</u> and "Urban-tolerant Trees for Mid to Large-sized Plots"
- The City of Toronto's tree species list: <u>Every Tree Counts Help Grow Toronto's Street Tree Canopy</u>
- A list of trees that are native to the Southwest Region: The Tree Atlas: Southwest Region

Step 2: Evaluation 1

The second step is to evaluate the trees on the base list, using indicators such as tree hardiness, salt tolerance, nativity, and historical experience.

Hardiness describes a plants ability to survive in certain growing conditions, across Canada, the zones are assigned a hardiness number 0 thru 9, and each species is also assigned a hardiness number. A

species can be planted in any zone that is equivalent to or less than its assigned hardiness. St. Marys is within Zone 6, an evaluation has been completed to determine if the species on the base list comply with hardiness zone.

Consideration of salt tolerance is essential, as the Town's winter maintenance operations utilize salt materials for de-icing, as such, trees chosen for modified environments should have a higher salt tolerance. Salt can be very toxic for species root system if they are salt intolerant; as the sodium ions replace other nutrients plants require and rock salt absorbs water that is usually available to roots, furthermore the roots absorb the chloride ions and transport them to the leaves interfering with chlorophyll production and photosynthesis (Beckerman and Lerner, 2). Salt spray also contributes to adverse effects; the spray can "damage leaves, buds and small twigs which in turn can reduce the plant's cold hardiness, making its tissue more susceptible to freeze damage" (Beckerman and Lerner 2). On the base list, where information is available, a plant's salt tolerance is indicated using a rating system: (T) tolerant, (M) intermediate, (S) sensitive.

The evaluation notes nativity of a species, it is ideal if the tree's chosen are native to the area; however, it is understandable that non-native trees may be planted due to their hardiness, salt tolerance and contribution to species diversity.

The evaluation also indicates experience with certain trees on the base list trees and unique features of the trees that may influence decisions regarding their position on the list.

Based on the following features, staff has divided the base list into three sections for the Committee's consideration: Recommend, To Be Considered, and Not Recommended. Please see **Attachment A:** Forestry Management – Modified Environment Tree Species Evaluation.

Trees that staff recommends usually have the following characteristics: meet salt tolerance requirements, positive reviews in Town and other centers.

Trees for the Green Committee to consider meet some requirements, but other characteristics the Committee needs to account for/

Trees that the evaluation lists as not recommended usually have the following features: are coniferous (provide sightline issues in the road allowance and are more difficult to maintain), are invasive species, produce large fruit or nuts that have been problematic in the past, species that are liable to disease or insect infestation.

Green Committee Discussion: Review the Forestry Management – Modified Environment Tree Species Evaluation document. Committee to provide comment regarding the recommended and not recommended species and deliberate on the species that have been designated as to be considered.

Step 3: Draft Species List

Staff will take the recommendations provided by the Committee to curate a draft species list. More research will be completed to determine root structures, and the height of the trees to better identify suitable planting locations.

Contact local nurseries to determine the availability and cost of the trees on the draft species list.

The Town contracts out its forestry contract for the pruning, removal disposal of trees. A new contract has been distributed, and bidding closes, Tuesday, March 5, tentatively, Council will award in March. Once the Contractor has been approved and established, the Town will seek comment from the Contractor regarding the draft species list and suggest planting timelines for the trees.

Depending on timing, staff will present the draft species list to the Green Committee at the Committee's April meeting, seeking its recommendation to submit the species list to Council.

Step 4: Community Engagement

To improve the longevity of newly planted boulevard trees, consider creating an infographic for properties near the young tree. The infographic will request their assistance watering the tree, and provide other additional facts like those noted in the evaluation table.

Natural/Modified Environments List

Cross-reference trees that appear on both lists to develop a list that contains species that are applicable for natural and modified environments.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

No financial implications at this time.

SUMMARY

The Town of St. Marys requires a revised species list that addresses natural and modified environments. Staff are presenting a base species list with recommendations based on a species salt tolerance, hardiness, and other variables. The Green Committee shall provide comment regarding the trees that are staff are proposing.

STRATEGIC PLAN

- This initiative is supported by the following priorities, outcomes, and tactics in the Plan.
 - Pillar #4 Culture and Recreation
 - Outcome: A focused parks strategy
 - o Tactic(s): Investigate implementing a forestry management policy for the Town

OTHERS CONSULTED

Johnathan Hahn, Parks Operator A

Vanni Azzano, Upper Thames Conservation Authority

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A - Forestry Management - Modified Environment Tree Species Evaluation

REVIEWED BY

Recommended by the Department

Morgan Dykstra

Public Works Coordinator

Morgan Dykste

Jed Kelly

Director of Public Works



FORESTRY MANAGEMENT: MODIFIED ENVIRONMENT TREE SPECIES EVALUATION

The Corporation of the Town of St. Marys - Public Works Department

ClassificationSalt ToleranceNativeRecommendT - TolerantN- NativeTo Be ConsideredM - IntermediateI - IntroducedNot RecommendedS - SensitiveNN - Not Native

		SALT			
BINOMIAL NOMENCLATURE	COMMON NAME	TOLERANCE	HARDINESS	NATIVE	COMMENTS
Acer concolor	White Fir	Т	2	N	Coniferous (Sighline Issues)
Acer Campestre	Hedge Maple	T, M	5	NN	
Acer x freemanii	Freeman's Maple	Т	4	NN	
Acer ginnala	Amur Maple	M, S	3	I	Mixed Salt Rating
Acer nigrum	Black Maple		6	N	Great Lakes Region in moist floodplains
Acer pseudoplatanus	Sycamore Maple	Т	8	I	Native to Europe and planted in Southern Canada
Acer rubrum	Red Maple	M,S	6	N	Moist soils - mixed salt rating
Acer saccharinum	Silver Maple	T, M	6	N	Native Invasive
Aesculus flava	Yellow Buckeye		5	N	
					When brusied, the leaves and bark exude an unpleasant
Aesculus glabra	Ohio Buckeye		6	N	smell
Aesculus x carnea	Red Horse Chestnut	Т	6	- 1	Do we want Chestnut trees in ROW?
Amelanchier spp.	Serviceberry	S	4	N	Edible trees?
					Found in every Canadian province and territory - can be
Betula papyrifera	Canoe Birch, White Birch	M <i>,</i> T	6-Jan	N	used to make canoes
Betula pendula	European White Birch	M		I	
Betula populifolia	Gray Birch	M	6	N	
Carya corifromis	Bitternut Hickory		7	N	Edible trees?
Carya ovata	Shagbark Hickory	T, M	7	N	Messy bark
Catalpa speciosa	Northern Catalpa	M	5	N	
Celtis occidentalis	Hackberry	S	7	N	Often used as a substitue for elm

Cladrastis lutea	American Yellow-wood	М	5	I	
Corylus colurna	Turkish Hazel		5	I	Toronto uses it
Fagus sylvatica	European Beech	S	7	I	Salt Rating
Fraxinus quadrangulata	Blue Ash		4	N	Ash tree (EAB concern)
Gingko biloba	Gingko	М		NN	
Gleditsia triacanthos	Honeylocust	Т	5	N	
Gymnocladus dioica	Kentucky Coffeetree	Т	5	N	
Juglans nigra	Black Walnut	М	7	N	Historical issues
Juglans regia	English Walnut	M	3,4,5,6,7,8	ı	Historical issues
Juniperus chinensis	Pfitzer Juniper	T	4	ı	Coniferous (Sighline Issues)
Juniperus horizontalis	Andorra Juniper	T	1,2,3,4	I	Coniferous (Sighline Issues)
Juniperus virginiana	Eastern Red-Cedar	T, M	3,4,5,6,7	ı	Coniferous (Sighline Issues)
Liquidambar styraciflua	Sweet Gum		5,6	N	No salt rating
Liriodendron tulipifera	Tulip Tree		3,4	N	No salt rating
Maclura pomifera	Osage Orange		4,5	ı	No salt rating
Magnolia acuminata	Cucumber Tree		6	N	No salt rating
Malus	Crab Apple	S			Salt Rating
metasequoia glyptostroboides	Dawn Redwood	S	5,6	NN	Coniferous + salt rating
Platanus x acerifolia	London Plane-Tree	S	6	NN	
Populus canescens	Gray Poplar	Т	3	ı	
Populus grandidentata	Bigtooth Aspen	T, M	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	N	
Populus nigra	Lombary Poplar	T, M	3,4,5,6,7,8,9	ı	
Populus tremuloides	Quaking Aspen	T, M	All	N	One of the most widley distributed species in Canada
Prunus padus	European Bird Cherry	T, M			
Prunus virginiana	Chokecherry	T,M	All	N	
Pyrus Calleryana	Callery Pear	T, M			
Quercus alba	White Oak	M, S	4	N	oak tree
Quercus bicolor	Swamp White Oak	S	4	N	
Quercus ellipsoidalis	Northern Pin Oak		3	N	No salt rating + and oak tree
Quercus macrocarpa	Bur Oak	M	3,4,5,6,7,8	N	
Quercus meuhelenbergii	Chinquapin Oak	S	5,6,7	N	Often grows on limestone
Quercus robur	English Oak	S	4,5,6,7,8	NN	
Quercus rubrus	Red Oak	M, S	2 thru 8	N(SO)	
Robinia pseudoacacia	Black Locust			NA	Tree killer and enables lower invasive vegetation species

Syringa reticulata	Japanese Tree Lilac	M			
Taxodium distichum	Baldcypress		4,5	I	
Tilia americana	Redmond Linden	M	1 thru 7	N	
Tilia cordata	Littleleaf Linden	Т	3,4	N	

	January									
S	M	S								
		1	2	3	4	5				
6	7	8	9	10	11	12				
13	14	15	16	17	18	19				
20	21	22	23	24	25	26				
27	28	29	30	31						

	February									
S	M	M T W T F S								
					1	2				
3	4	5	6	7	8	9				
10	11	12	13	14	15	16				
17	18	19	20	21	22	23				
24	25	26	27	28						

	March									
S	M	T	W	T	F	S				
					1	2				
3	4	5	6	7	8	9				
10	11	12	13	14	15	16				
17	18	19	20	21	22	23				
24	25	26	27	28	29	30				
31										

2019 Green **Committee** Calendar

Green Committee Meeting

	April										
S	M	T	W T F								
	1	2	3	4	5	6					
7	8	9	10	11	12	13					
14	15	16	17	18	19	20					
21	22	23	24	25	26	27					
28	29	30									

	May									
S	M	M T W T F								
			1	2	3	4				
5	6	7	8	9	10	11				
12	13	14	15	16	17	18				
19	20	21	22	23	24	25				
26	27	28	29	30	31					

			Jun	e		
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30						

						8
	.]	Iun	Δ			5:30 pm (Location TBD)
June						Statutory & Public Holidays +
\mathbf{M}	\mathbf{T}	\mathbf{W}	T	\mathbf{F}	S	Christmans Break
					1	Christinans break
					1	
3	4	5	6	7	8	
10	11	12	13	14	15	
17	18	19	20	21	22	
				_		

	July									
S	M	T	W	T	F	S				
	1	2	3	4	5	6				
7	8	9	10	11	12	13				
14	15	16	17	18	19	20				
21	22	23	24	25	26	27				
28	29	30	31							

	August									
S	M	M T W T F								
				1	2	3				
4	5	6	7	8	9	10				
11	12	13	14	15	16	17				
18	19	20	21	22	23	24				
25	26	27	28	29	30	31				

September						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30					

October							
S	M	T	W	T	F	S	
		1	2	3	4	5	
6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	
27	28	29	30	31			

November						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30

	December						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
15	16	17	18	19	20	21	
22	23	24	25	26	27	28	
29	30	31					